

From: [Turner, Philip](#)
To: [Moore, Gary](#)
Subject: RE: PCB Aroclor vs Congener Analysis
Date: Thursday, January 25, 2018 4:00:45 PM

Yes, I agree.

Phil

From: Moore, Gary
Sent: Thursday, January 25, 2018 10:08 AM
To: Turner, Philip <Turner.Philip@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: PCB Aroclor vs Congener Analysis

Phil:

See the response below from Mark and my emails to them about this issue.

Let me know if you agree.

Thanks
Gary Moore

From: Sprenger, Mark
Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2018 7:14 AM
To: Moore, Gary <Moore.Gary@epa.gov>; Greenberg, Marc <Greenberg.Marc@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: PCB Aroclor vs Congener Analysis

Gary,

Sorry for the delay.

Sort answer: no....if you are doing an action and you have an established remediation level (1 ppm or something else) and that is an acceptable action (because of cost and or other reasons); then there is no reason to do more costly and time consuming analyses.

Congener analyses are justified if you are doing a risk assessment and believe that by doing the congener analyses you can refine (raise) the default remediation goal. As you probably know, there are a number of different ways of doing congener analyses, from doing the full 219 compounds to all the co-planers and/or the homologs (by number of chlorine atoms); each of those has a reason for doing it all related to either risk or identification of source or other detail question.

If you need to defend the decision...go back to DQOs ... why are you collecting the additional data?

If you need more than this let me know, I'm guessing you just wanted the "no" but I felt that some context was need, but I do not know if someone is asking you to do the additional work.

mark

From: Moore, Gary

Sent: Friday, January 19, 2018 12:50 PM

To: Sprenger, Mark <Sprenger.Mark@epa.gov>; Greenberg, Marc <Greenberg.Marc@epa.gov>

Subject: PCB Aroclor vs Congener Analysis

Mark/Marc:

I have two sites that I will be doing Removal Assessments on in early spring (late Feb/March). I have done PCB sites before and only did the Aroclor analyses. The cleanup level used was < 1 mg/kg Total PCBs (based upon Aroclor Analysis). My sampling was not for performing a risk assessment but solely to cleanup determination.

Is there a reason for me to do the Congener Analyses?

Henryetta Iron and Metal: Metal salvage yard that limited sampling has shown Aroclors on site and within residential properties downgradient.

Frank J. Doyle Salvage: Transformer Salvage facility that has significant PCB contamination on-site and offsite within residential properties.

Thanks for your assistance.

Gary W. Moore (6SF-ER)
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
U.S EPA Region 6
1445 Ross Ave, Suite 1200
Dallas, TX 75202-2733
Cell: 214.789.1627
moore.gary@epa.gov